Searching for spin-3/2 leptons

Mohammad Abdullah,[1] Kevin Bauer,[1] Luis Gutierrez,[1] John Sandy,[1] and Daniel Whiteson[1]

[1]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697*

A model of spin-3/2 vector-like leptons is introduced, which serve as an alternative probe of possible lepton compositeness. Possible signatures at the Large Hadron Collider are explored, and current data are used to constrain the masses of the hypothetical new leptons.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1609.05251v2 [hep-ph] 1 Nov 2016

INTRODUCTION

A major open question in modern particle physics is whether the standard model fermions are fundamental particles, or whether they are composite, such as stable states of more fundamental particles [1][2][3][4][5].

Such compositeness could be identified by the observation of excited states, which has been studied in depth [6]. Here, we propose an alternative possibility, the existence of vector-like spin-3/2 copies of the standard model fermions, in which the left- and right-handed fermions have identical charges.

In this paper, we propose such a model, discuss the potential signals at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and apply existing LHC data to calculate constraints on the mass of spin-3/2 leptons.

THEORY

We assume that the new spin-3/2 lepton fields are charged identically to the standard model leptons but are vector-like; specifically, the right- and left-handed fields couple identically, for otherwise the model would be heavily constrained by a combination of constraints from electroweak precision data and Higgs boson observables [7]. This still leaves two options: the leptons can be $SU(2)$ doublets, which means they will couple to all electroweak bosons, or $SU(2)$ singlets, which means they will only couple to photons and Z bosons. The doublet model will be the focus of this work due to its richer structure; we will supplement it with effective operators that allow for decays.

The Lagrangian for such a model can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{free}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} \tag{1}$$

The first two terms contain the mass and kinetic terms and are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{free}}+\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{L}^*_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma D_\nu L^*_\rho + im_L\bar{L}^*_\mu\gamma^{\mu\nu}L^*_\nu \tag{2}$$

where D is the covariant derivative, ℓ can be either e, μ, or τ and the $*$ denotes the spin-3/2 leptons. If we expand the derivative term, the free part of the Lagrangian will be

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{free}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(&\ell^{*+}_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\partial_\nu\ell^{*-}_\rho + \bar{\nu}^*_{\ell\mu}\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\partial_\nu\nu^*_{\ell\rho}) \\ &+ im_L\ell^{*+}_\mu\gamma^{\mu\nu}\ell^{*-}_\nu + im_L\bar{\nu}^*_{\ell\mu}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\nu^*_{\ell\nu}\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Note that the charged and neutral components have the same mass (m_L) due to $SU(2)$ gauge invariance. Expanding the covariant derivative we get the interactions with the standard model vector bosons which, after electroweak symmetry breaking, look like

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} &= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}[2ie_W\left(W^+_\nu\bar{\nu}^*_{\ell\mu}\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\ell^{*-}_\rho + W^-_\nu\ell^{*+}_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\nu^*_{\ell\rho}\right) \\ &+ \frac{ie(-\frac{1}{2}+s^2_W)}{s_W c_W}Z_\nu\ell^{*+}_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\ell^{*-}_\rho \\ &+ \frac{ie}{2s_W c_W}Z_\nu\bar{\nu}^*_{\ell\mu}\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\nu^*_{l\rho} \\ &+ -ieA_\nu\ell^{*+}_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\sigma\ell^{*-}_\rho]\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where all couplings are well measured electroweak parameters given approximately by

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \sqrt{4\pi\alpha} \simeq 0.3 \\ e_W &\equiv \frac{e}{s_W 2\sqrt{2}} \simeq 0.2 \\ s_W &\equiv sin\theta_W \simeq 0.5 \\ c_W &\equiv cos\theta_W \simeq 0.9 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we move to the effective operators without which the new fields would be stable; note that the charged and neutral components of the new leptons have identical masses. Since the compositeness scale is higher than the electroweak scale, it makes more sense to write down operators that respect the underlying $SU(2)$ symmetry of the theory which reduces the number of allowed terms and parameters. For concreteness and simplicity we will assume only the following effective operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} &= -\frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{\Lambda_B}B^{\mu\nu}(\bar{L}^*_\mu\gamma_\nu L + \bar{L}\gamma_\nu L^*_\mu) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{\Lambda_W}W^{\mu\nu a}(\bar{L}^*_\mu\sigma_a\gamma_\nu L + \bar{L}\sigma_a\gamma_\nu L^*_\mu) \\ &\equiv -\frac{i}{2}g_B B^{\mu\nu}(\bar{L}^*_\mu\gamma_\nu L + \bar{L}\gamma_\nu L^*_\mu) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}g_W W^{\mu\nu a}(\bar{L}^*_\mu\sigma_a\gamma_\nu L + \bar{L}\sigma_a\gamma_\nu L^*_\mu) \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

where Λ_B and Λ_W are scales generated by some UV physics to which we are oblivious.

Other operators that we could have considered are 4-fermion operators involving both new and standard model fields, in which case the final state leptons are direct decay products of the spin-3/2 fields rather than secondary particles making them easier to detect. Alternatively we could have chosen electroweak symmetry breaking operators that lead to mass splitting between the neutral and charged fields allowing one to decay to the other. We leave an exploration of such possibilities for future work.

BOUNDS

Since the new fields are vector-like and do not couple to the Higgs at tree level, their electroweak charge causes no conflict with measurement of S, T, U parameters [8][9] (or, effectively, the electroweak bosons' self-energy). The effective operators are, in principle, constrained by measurements of the anomalous magnetic moment of charged leptons, especially that of the muon [10]. Such constraints can easily be avoided if the couplings g_B and g_W are sufficiently small. Ensuring that these couplings are small also ensures that the effective operators do not compete with the gauge interactions in the production of the new fermions. On the other hand, if the couplings are too small the new fields would correspond to particles with long lifetimes and would be severely constrained by long-lived charged particle searches [11][12][13]. The range 10^{-9} GeV$^{-1} < g_B,\ g_W < 10^{-4}$ GeV^{-1} satisfies these requirements and we will assume such values for the remainder of the work. We emphasize that the exact values are, for a given ratio of g_B and g_W, unimportant since they modify neither the branching ratios nor the production rate.

Collider bounds on spin-1/2 vector-like leptons are potentially applicable. However, due to different choices in either the production or decay channels, none of the analyses in the literature are directly applicable. Moreover, it is possible that the difference in kinematics due to the higher spin are significant enough to alter the limit, so it is worthwhile to study this particular case in addition.

COLLIDER PHENOMENOLOGY

The production of the new fields is predominantly through Drell-Yan pair production with electroweak gauge bosons as mediators, and the cross sections are fixed by standard model couplings for a given mass. As explained earlier, the couplings of the effective operators are chosen to be small enough to contribute subdominantly to production even at the highest mass considered.



FIG. 1: Production modes of the spin-3/2 leptons via standard model electroweak bosons.

The pairs that can be produced are $l^{*+}l^{*-}$, $\bar{\nu}^*_l\nu^*_l$, $l^{*+}\nu^*_l$, and $l^{*-}\bar{\nu}^*_l$, with the last two being the most common since W production at the LHC is the larger of the three bosons. As for decays, the effective operators open up a variety of channels. The allowed decays are shown in the table below.

TABLE I: Allowed decay modes for spin-3/2 leptons ℓ^* and ν^*.

ℓ^{*-}	$Z\ell^-$	$\gamma\ell^-$	$W^-\nu_\ell$	$W^-Z\nu_\ell$	$W^-\gamma\nu_\ell$	$W^+W^-\ell^-$
ν^*_ℓ	$Z\nu_\ell$	$\gamma\nu_\ell$	$W^+\ell^-$	$W^+Z\ell^-$	$W^+\gamma\ell^-$	$W^+W^-\nu_\ell$

By contrived choices of g_W and g_B we can turn off one of the decays in the first two columns. All the other columns depend only on g_W and can only be turned off by setting it to zero, in which case the decays in the first two columns must either be all on or all off. One good feature of this set of decays is that there will always be some visible decay products, even if we produce a pair of spin-3/2 neutrinos.

In this work will limit ourselves to 2-body decays including electron and muons, which results in promising signals; we leave the photon channels for a future work. We will place the limits on the benchmark points listed in Table II where the forbidden decay channel is indicated in each case.

LIMITS FROM LHC DATA

Assuming production via an intermediate electroweak boson and the decays given in Table I, there are ten dis-

Benchmark Point	g_W : g_B	Forbidden Channels
1	1 : 1	None
2	c_W : s_W	$\nu^* \to \gamma\nu$
3	c_W : -s_W	$e^* \to \gamma e$
4	s_W : c_W	$e^* \to Z\nu$
5	s_W : -c_W	$\nu^* \to Z\nu$
6	0 : 1	All decays including W's

TABLE II: The ratios of g_W and g_B and the main decay feature of each benchmark point

tinct production modes for the LHC:

$$W \to \ell^*\nu^* \to Z\ell Z\nu, W\nu Z\nu, W\nu W\ell, Z\ell W\ell$$

$$Z \to \ell^*\ell^* \to Z\ell Z\ell, W\nu W\nu, Z\ell W\nu$$

$$Z \to \nu^*\nu^* \to Z\nu Z\nu, Z\nu W\ell, W\ell W\nu$$

which generate final states including many charged leptons, which offer low background rates at the LHC.

We apply selections from the same-sign 2ℓ [14] and 3ℓ [15] searches at ATLAS. We provide a brief summary below of the signal regions considered.

For the 2ℓ search, the final states $e^\pm e^\pm, e^\pm\mu^\pm$ and $\mu^\pm\mu^\pm$ are considered in categories defined by the dilepton invariant mass.

For the 3ℓ search, events are classified using the three highest-p_{T} selected leptons, distinguishing between those that do not contain an opposite-sign same-flavour pair (denoted no-OSSF) and those that do contain an OSSF pair, which are further subdivided into on-Z and off-Z based on the dilepton and three highest-p_{T} selected leptons invariant mass. For each category, there are several signal regions used to characterize events based on the quantities: $H_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{leptons}}$, the scalar sum of the transverse momentum p_{T} of the three leptons used to categorize the event; $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell,\mathrm{min}}$, the minimum transverse momentum p_{T} of the three leptons used to categorize the event; $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$, the missing transverse momentum; m_{eff}, the scalar sum of $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$, $H_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{jets}}$, and the p_{T} of all identified leptons in the event; and $m_{\mathrm{T}}^W = \sqrt{2p_{\mathrm{T}}^\ell E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}(1-\cos(\Delta\phi))}$, defined only for on-$Z$ events. For the transverse mass, p_{T}^ℓ corresponds to the transverse momentum of the highest-p_{T} lepton not associated to a Z boson candidate and $\Delta\phi$ is the azimuthal angle between the highest-p_{T} lepton not associated to a Z boson candidate and the missing transverse momentum $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$.

Simulated samples of spin-3/2 leptons were generated with MADGRAPH5 [16][17] and the decays were performed through PYTHIA [18] for a variety of ℓ^* and ν^* masses under two scenarios. First, we consider the simple case in which $\ell^* = e^*$ and $\nu^* = \nu_e^*$; second, we extend to the second generation and allow $\ell^* = e^*, \mu^*$, $\nu^* = \nu_e^*, \nu_\mu^*$. For both, we set $m_{e^*} = m_{\nu_e^*} = m_{\mu^*} = m_{\nu_\mu^*}$. We generated samples of production cross sections and decay widths for a range of masses and combined them according to each of the six coupling benchmarks described in Table II.


Fraction of events
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*
l*, ν* mass = 200GeV
l*, ν* mass = 400GeV
l*, ν* mass = 600GeV
l*, ν* mass = 800GeV
l*, ν* mass = 1000GeV
H_T^leptons [GeV]
Fraction of events
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ*
l*, ν* mass = 200GeV
l*, ν* mass = 400GeV
l*, ν* mass = 600GeV
l*, ν* mass = 800GeV
l*, ν* mass = 1000GeV
H_T^leptons [GeV]

FIG. 2: Distribution of $H_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{leptons}}$ for simulated spin-3/2 lepton samples; the selection required $H_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{leptons}} > 500$ GeV. The top pane shows the case where only electrons are considered; the bottom pane shows the case where electrons and muons are considered.

From among the signal regions in the two ATLAS searches described above, we choose the SR which gives the most powerful expected limits: $H_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{leptons}} > 500$ GeV for OSSF off-Z. Distributions of $H_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{leptons}}$ in simulated samples after all selection requirements are made are shown in Fig. 2. Selection efficiency is shown in Fig. 3. Observed and expected limits are derived according to the prescription provided in Ref. [15] shown in Fig. 4


Total efficiency
10^{-2}
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*
All decay channels open
ν* → γ ν decay forbidden
l* → γ l decay forbidden
l* → Z l decay forbidden
ν* → Z ν decay forbidden
Decays to Ws forbidden
200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000
l*, ν* mass [GeV]
Total efficiency
10^{-2}
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ*
All decay channels open
ν* → γ ν decay forbidden
l* → γ l decay forbidden
l* → Z l decay forbidden
ν* → Z ν decay forbidden
Decays to Ws forbidden
200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000
l*, ν* mass [GeV]

FIG. 3: Overall efficiency of the selection defined in Ref. [15] which requires $H_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{leptons}} > 500$ GeV for OSSF off-Z, as a function of the spin-3/2 lepton mass. The top pane shows the case where only electrons are considered; the bottom pane shows the case where electrons and muons are considered.

and Fig. 5.

CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the sensitivity of existing LHC data to a model of spin-3/2 leptons. Such fields are possible within the context of fermion compositeness which is well studied in the literature. We focused on a scenario where the new fields are dominantly produced by Drell-Yan processes through electroweak bosons and promptly decay through effective operators to electroweak bosons and standard model leptons of the same flavor as the new fields.

We looked at final states resulting in same-sign 2ℓ or 3ℓ and found comparable limits for each. The new fields are ruled out at the 95% confidence level for masses up to about 560 GeV for one new field with electron flavor, and up to about 620 GeV for two new, mass degenerate fields, one with electron flavor and one with muon flavor. We expect to get more stringent limits if we include the photon channel.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Tim Tait, Bartosz Fornal, and Jordan Smolinsky for helpful discussion and comments. MA is particularly thankful to Tim Tait for his guidance in constructing the model. This work is supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY–1316792.

[1] E. Eichten, K. D. Lane, and M. E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 811 (1983), [,369(1983)].
[2] R. Contino, T. Kramer, M. Son, and R. Sundrum, JHEP **05**, 074 (2007), hep-ph/0612180.
[3] B. Lillie, J. Shu, and T. M. P. Tait, JHEP **04**, 087 (2008), 0712.3057.
[4] R. S. Chivukula and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. **B188**, 99 (1987).
[5] M. A. Shupe, Phys. Lett. **B86**, 87 (1979).
[6] D. A. Dicus, D. Karabacak, S. Nandi, and S. K. Rai, Phys. Rev. **D87**, 015023 (2013), 1208.5811.
[7] A. Lenz, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2013**, 910275 (2013).
[8] S. Schael et al. (SLD Electroweak Group, DELPHI, ALEPH, SLD, SLD Heavy Flavour Group, OPAL, LEP Electroweak Working Group, L3), Phys. Rept. **427**, 257 (2006), hep-ex/0509008.
[9] M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 964 (1990).
[10] J. Beringer, J. F. Arguin, R. M. Barnett, K. Copic, O. Dahl, D. E. Groom, C. J. Lin, J. Lys, H. Murayama, C. G. Wohl, et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012), URL `http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001`.
[11] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS), JHEP **07**, 122 (2013), 1305.0491.
[12] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), JHEP **01**, 068 (2015), 1411.6795.
[13] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), Eur. Phys. J. **C75**, 407 (2015), 1506.05332.
[14] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), JHEP **03**, 041 (2015), 1412.0237.
[15] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), JHEP **08**, 138 (2015), 1411.2921.
[16] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, JHEP **07**, 079 (2014), 1405.0301.
[17] N. D. Christensen, P. de Aquino, N. Deutschmann, C. Duhr, B. Fuks, C. Garcia-Cely, O. Mattelaer, K. Mawatari, B. Oexl, and Y. Takaesu, Eur. Phys. J. **C73**, 2580 (2013), 1308.1668.
[18] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, JHEP **05**, 026 (2006), hep-ph/0603175.


Cross-section [fb]
l*, ν* mass [GeV]
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*, all decay channels open:
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*, ν* → γ ν decay forbidden:
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*, l* → γ l decay forbidden:
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*, l* → Z l decay forbidden:
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*, ν* → Z ν decay forbidden:
For l* = e* and ν* = ν_e*, decays to Ws forbidden:
95% CL Expected limit
95% CL Observed limit
Theoretical Prediction

FIG. 4: Observed and expected limits as a function of ℓ^* and ν^* mass, in the case of $\ell^* = e^*$, $\nu^* = \nu_e^*$


Cross-section [fb]
l*, ν* mass [GeV]
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ* ; all decay channels open :
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ* ; ν* → γ ν decay forbidden:
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ* ; l* → γ l decay forbidden:
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ* ; l* → Z l decay forbidden:
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ* ; ν* → Z ν decay forbidden:
For l* = e*, μ* and ν* = ν_e*, ν_μ* ; decays to Ws forbidden:
95% CL Expected limit
95% CL Observed limit
Theoretical Prediction

FIG. 5: Observed and expected limits as a function of ℓ^* and ν^* mass, in the case of $\ell^* = e^*, \mu^*$, $\nu^* = \nu_e^*, \nu_\mu^*$